Analysis of ionospheric parameters above active seismic regions
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Abstract. The aim of this paper is to examine the anomalous variations of the critical frequency ($f_o F_2$), total electron content (TEC), and $f_o E_s$ parameters before earthquake occurred on 1 April 2014 near Iquique Chile ($M = 8.2$) and event on 25 October 2013 in Japan ($M = 7.1$). Using the bound method we analyzed the variations of $f_o F_2$, TEC, $f_o E_s$ and $N_m F_2$ parameters. The anomalous variations in $f_o F_2$, TEC, $f_o E_s$ and $N_m F_2$ parameters observed 1 day, 15 days, 4 days and 1 day prior to Chile event and anomalous variations in $f_o F_2$, TEC and $f_o E_s$ observed 3 days, 3 days and 2 days prior to earthquake event of Japan.
1. Introduction

Earthquake is a natural calamity which causes causality in human life. Earthquakes are one of the most destructing natural phenomenons on earth, which causes high death tolls and large financial losses each year. Earthquake occurrence is connected with the earth’s crustal dynamics. The earth’s crust is the rigid external shell of our planet. It consists of semi rigid plates of different sizes. The slow movements of these plates are called plate tectonics. Collision of these plates leads to the diving of one plate edge under the other. These movements lead to as strain accumulation within the earth’s crust, to mechanical deformations and crust rupture. The process of rupture is the earthquake \cite{Pulinets and Boyarchuk, 2004}. Now one question arises were there any mark or any advanced warning or indication that such destructing calamity was coming? What happened in the weeks and days prior to those terrible earthquakes events? The earlier researchers suggest that nature itself provides some indication or hint or signs of coming earthquakes events. The huge losses suffered by mankind require a great urgency to search for reliable precursor of earthquake. The precursor provides an advanced intimation or signs
of these coming disasters. It has been observed and reported by many researchers that the ionosphere behaviour changes a few days before seismic shock. The anomalous behaviour of ionospheric parameters may be used as earthquake precursors.

Ionosphere is the layer of the atmosphere that lies between 60 km and 1000 km above earth’s surface. Ionosphere consists of three distinct layers namely $D$, $E$, and $F$. The $F$-region of the ionosphere also consists of two layers $F1$ and $F2$. The $F2$ layer of the ionosphere is where the peak of the electron concentration is situated. The ionosphere has the ability to reflect radio waves. It is known from the plasma physics that the plasma reflects the radio waves, the frequency of which is lower or equal to the plasma frequency. Here the frequencies higher than the peak frequency designated as $f_o F2$ and named the critical frequency will simply penetrated through the ionosphere and propagate into outer space, we will not receive reflection from the ionosphere [Pulinets and Boyarchuk, 2004]. One of the characterizing parameter of this $F2$ layer is $f_o F2$ (MHz). The main source of this parameter is the network of ground-based ionosonde.

Ionosonde is a ground based monitoring instrument which is used for measuring ionospheres variations of
greatest (penetration) frequency before earthquakes. $f_o F2$ stands for the greatest plasma frequency of ionosphere. Along with ionosonde data the Global Positioning System (GPS) data can be used to measure the ionospheric total electron content (TEC). TEC is a measure of the total amount of electrons along a particular line of sight. The $f_o E_s$ is the Critical frequency of $E_s$ layer and $N_m F2$ is the ionosphere $F2$-peak electron density.

The first publications concerning the ionospheric effects related with earthquakes were published just after the Alaska “Good Friday” earthquake in 1964 (Bolt, 1964; Dhon and Posmetier, 1964; Moore, 1964; Davies and Baker, 1965; Leonard and Barnes, 1965; Row, 1966; Hirschberg, 1967) [Pulinets and Boyarchuk, 2004]. The first publications dealing with the ionospheric parameter variations as seismic precursors we can mention [Antselevich, 1971] who studied the variations of the $f_o E_s$ parameter before the Tashkent earthquake in 1966 and [Datchenko et al., 1972] who also studied the ionospheric electron variations before the Tashkent earthquakes in 1966 [Pulinets and Boyarchuk, 2004]. But the first paper using satellite data was given by [Gokhberg et al., 1983]. Many scientists have shown the anomalous variation of critical frequency of iono-
spheric F region, $f_oF2$ by ionosondes before earthquake onsets (Chen et al., 2004; Rios et al., 2004; Dabas et al., 2007; Depveva et al., 2007; Liperovskaya et al., 2008, 2009; Sharma et al., 2008; Tsolis and Xenos, 2010) [Pulinets and Boyarchuk, 2004]. [Chuo et al., 2001] examined the variations of the ionosphere penetration frequency, $f_oF2$ observed by Chung-Li Ionosonde station (25.00°N, 121.10°E) several days before the three large earthquakes which stroked Rei-Li, Chi-Chi and Chia-Yi in central Taiwan on 17 July 1998 ($M = 6.2$), 20 September 1999 ($M = 7.3$) and 22 October 1999 ($M = 6.4$). The results showed that $f_oF2$ decreased significantly before the three earthquakes. [Plotkin, 2003] detected the ionospheres TEC perturbation before the 13 February 2001, E1 Salvador earthquake of ($M = 6.6$) [14:22:05 UT]. Systematic decreases of the ionosphere TEC during two days before the earthquake onset were observed. [Dabas et al., 2007] observed the ionospheres perturbation over a low-latitude station Delhi (28.60°N; 77.20°E) prior to occurrences of 11 major earthquakes ($M > 6$) during last couple of years. The results showed some unusual perturbations observed in $f_oF2$ values, 1–25 days before and 2–3 days after the main shock of every earthquake indicating a clear seismo-ionospheres link and may be
used as earthquakes precursory. [Chauhan et al., 2012] analyzed the TEC data obtained from dual frequency GPS receiver at Agra-station and also analyzed the GIMS of TEC corresponding to Wenchuan earthquake. The GPS and GIM TEC data showed anomalous depletions 2–13 days before and anomalous enhancements 3–10 days prior to occurrence of earthquake.

2. Observation and Data

In the present paper we have taken two earthquake events for analysis one occurred near Chile and the other one occurred near Ishinomaki Japan. Event (i): A major earthquake of magnitude $M = 8.2$ occurred on 1 April 2014 near Iquique Chile at 23:46:47 UTC [18:46:47 UTC–05.00] at epicentre. The epicentre of this earthquake was located at 94 km NW of Iquique [19.61°S, 70.769°W]. The magnitude of the event was 8.2 on Richter scale and focal depth 25 km. Event (ii): A major earthquake of magnitude $M = 7.1$ occurred on 25 October 2013 near Ishinomaki of Japan. The epicentre of this earthquake was located at 325 km SE of Ishinomaki Japan [38°25′ N, 141°18′ E] and 475 km ENE of Tokyo Japan. The magnitude of the event was 7.1 on Richter scale and focal depth was 35 km.
For event (i) we obtained the ionosonde data $f_0F_2$ and $f_0E_s$ from Jicamarca ionosonde station $[-120^\circ N, -76.8^\circ E]$ located in Peru and GPS data (TEC) from GPS receiver Station Iquique Chile $[20^\circ 10' S, 70^\circ 7' W]$. For second event the ionosonde data were obtained from station Kokubunji $[35.7^\circ N, 139.5^\circ E]$ and GPS data were obtained from Kashima Station. We retrieved the hourly values of TEC from Ionolab and the hourly values of $f_0F_2$ were retrieved from National Geophysical Data centre (NGDC) database under [http://spidr.ngdc.noaa.gov/spidr/](http://spidr.ngdc.noaa.gov/spidr/). The concept of earthquake preparation area was introduced by [Dobrovolsky et al., 1979](#). According to Dobrovolsky the size (radius) of the earthquake preparation area depends on the earthquake magnitude $M$. The radius of this earthquake preparation area is given by

$$R = 10^{0.43M}$$

where $R$ is the radius of the earthquake preparation zone in km, $M$ is the magnitude of earthquake in Richter scale. The radius of earthquake preparation zone (area) is estimated for these earthquake events by using the above formula. Putting the value of $M$ in above equation the radius of earthquake preparation zone is esti-
mated as 3357.3 km for first event and it is estimated as 1129.796 km for second event.

In the present analysis for Chile event for $f_o F2$ and $f_o E_s$ analysis we choose Jicamarca ionosonde station. The distance between Jicamarca station and the (Iquique Chile nearest to the epicentre) epicentre is 1168 km. It is clear that the Jicamarca station is inside earthquake preparation zone. For TEC data analysis we choose GPS receiver stations. The distance between the epicentre and the Iquique Chile station is 94 km. It is clear that the Iquique Chile station is inside earthquake preparation zone. Similarly for second event of Japan earthquake we choose Kokubunji ionosonde station. The distance between the epicentre and the Kokubunji station is about 499 km. It is clear that the Kokubunji station is inside earthquake preparation zone. The distance between Kashima and epicentre lie in between earthquake preparation zone.

We used the bound method and analyzed the ionospheres abnormalities prior to this event. In bound method to identify abnormal signals we compute the median $X$ of considered ionospheric data of ($f_o F2$, TEC) of particular earthquake period and associated interquartile range (IQR). Using these we construct the upper bound $X + IQR$ and lower bound $X - IQR$ [Liu
If an observed ionospheric parameter falls out of either the associated lower or upper bound, then we estimate with a confidence level of about 90–95% that a lower or upper abnormal signal is detected. We have analyzed hourly $f_o F_2$, $f_o E_s$ and TEC data. Using the formula given below firstly we calculated the IQR and then calculated the upper bound and lower bound of data of considered time period. The upper and lower bound of inter-quartile range (IQR) is estimated by using the following formulae

$$\text{Upper bound (UB)} = X + \text{IQR}$$

$$\text{Lower bound (LB)} = X - \text{IQR}$$

where $X$ is the median value of ionospheric parameter’s time series data. IQR is the inter quartile range. Using the calculated bounds we analyzed the anomalous variations of ionospheric parameters prior to earthquake event if any. For detection of any anomalous variation the observed parameter were compared with the bounds. If the observed parameter value crosses the calculated bounds then we detected an anomalous signal. For estimating quantitatively the anomalous variations we used the percent deviation analysis method.
Dabas et al., 2007; Xu et al., 2011. The formulae used for calculation of % deviation is given as below

\[
\text{% Dev. Increase} = \left(\frac{X - \text{IQR(UB)}}{\text{IQR(UB)}}\right) \times 100
\]

If \( f_o F2 \leq \text{IQR (upper bound)} \), then \( \text{% Dev. (increase)} = 0 \).

\[
\text{% Dev. Decrease} = \left(\frac{\text{IQR(LB)} - X}{\text{IQR(LB)}}\right) \times 100
\]

where \( X \) is observed value of ionospheric parameter. If \( \text{IQR (lower bound)} \leq f_o F2 \), then \( \text{% Dev. (decrease)} = 0 \).

If \( f_o F2 \) parameter varies between LB and UB then the deviation percentage (i.e. \( \text{% Dev} \)) is equal to zero. With the help of above equations we detected the percentage increase and decrease from upper and lower bound of IQR.

As we know that the geomagnetic activity also influences the ionospheres parameters and causes variations in it we also checked the geomagnetic factors affecting ionosphere. For detecting the ionosphere variations prior to only earthquake event, we also checked the geomagnetic factor that is the geomagnetic indices \( Kp \) index and \( Dst \) index. The values of \( Kp \) and \( Dst \)
were examined during the considered time period. We obtained the $Kp$ index and $Dst$ index data from World Data Centre (WDC) Kyoto Japan.

3. Results and Discussion

In our study ionospheric variations were examined during the period 15 March–2 April 2014 prior to Iquique Chile earthquake of 1 April 2014. We have examined the anomalous variations of ionospheric $f_o F_2$ (MHz) critical frequency of $F_2$ layer, $f_o E_s$ (MHz) critical frequency of $E_s$ sporadic $E$ layer, TEC (TECU) total electron content and $N_m F_2$ (MHz) (ionosphere $F_2$-peak electron density) parameter by analyzing $f_o F_2$, $f_o E_s$, TEC and $N_m F_2$ data. For Chile earthquake event the variations in the $Dst$ index were within normal limit values. The $Dst$ index was $Dst > -20$ nT. The value of $Kp$ index was also below 4. This indicates that the space environment and the geomagnetic activity were relatively quiet during the analysis period. The results related to these earthquakes are plotted as shown in Figure 1a to Figure 4b.

We analyzed the $f_o F_2$ data of period from 25 March to 2 April 2014. The results are plotted as shown in Figure 1a and Figure 1b. In Figure 1a the upper line
Figure 1. a – Variation of $f_o F_2$ parameter for Jicamarca Station from 25 March to 2 April 2014; b – Percentage deviation of $f_o F_2$ of Jicamarca Station on 28th and 31st March 2014.
represents upper bound and the lower line represents lower bound. As evident from Figure 1b it was found that there is max. 65.14 and 65.64 negative % deviation of \( f_o F2 \) on 28th and 31st March 2014. The relative negative deviation (% Dev) was from 36.0 to 65.64%. Thus 28th and 31st March are the anomalous days prior to earthquake event of 1 April 2014. These days may be considered as precursors. We analyzed the TEC (TECU) data of period from 15 March to 2 April 2014. The results are plotted as shown in Figure 2a and Figure 2b. In Figure 2a the upper line represents upper bound and the lower line represents lower bound. From Figure 2b it was found that there is max. 53.42, 34.80 and 33.50 negative % deviation of TEC on 16th, 22nd, 31st March 2014. The relative negative deviation (% Dev) was from 22.47 to 53.42%. Thus 16th, 22nd, 31st March are the anomalous days prior to earthquake event of 1 April 2014.

We analyzed the \( f_o E_s \) data of period from 15 March to 2 April. The results are plotted as shown in Figure 3a and Figure 3b. In Figure 3a the upper line represents upper bound and the lower line represents lower bound. The analysis of \( f_o E_s \) data showed that maximum 41.46 negative % deviations of \( f_o E_s \) were observed only on 27th March 2014. Also some variations of 1.2–11.6% in
Figure 2. a – Variation of TEC parameter of Iquique Chile Station from 15 March 2014 to 2 April 2014; b – Percentage deviation of TEC for Iquique Chile Station on 16th, 22nd and 31st March 2014.
Figure 3. a – Variation of $f_oE_s$ parameter for Jicamarca Station from 15 March to 2 April 2014; b – Percentage deviation of $f_oE_s$ parameter of Jicamarca Station on 27 March 2014; c – Percentage deviation of $f_oE_s$ parameter of Jicamarca Station on 27th, 28th and 29th March 2014.
upper bound of IQR were observed. The maximum positive deviation was observed on 27th and 29th March 2014. Thus 27th and 29th March are the anomalous days prior to earthquake event. The 27th March may be considered as a precursor day. We analyzed the $N_m F_2$ data of period from 25 March to 2 April 2014. The results are plotted as shown in Figure 4a and Figure 4b. The values of greatest electron density $N_m F_2$ were calculated by formula $N_m F_2 = 1.24 \times 10^4 (f_o F_2)^2$, where $f_o F_2$ stands for greatest (critical, penetration) frequency of the ionosphere in MHz. From Figure 4b, 84.39 and 84.84 negative % deviation of $N_m F_2$ were observed on 28th and 31st March 2014. The relative negative deviation (% Dev) was from 71.26 to 84.84%. Thus 28th and 31st March are the anomalous days prior to earthquake event. The 28th and 31st March may be considered as a precursor day.

In our study ionospheric variations were also examined during the period 18 October to 25 October 2013 prior to ($M = 7.1$) Japan earthquake occurred on 25 October 2013 in Japan. We examined the anomalous variation of ionospheric $f_o F_2$, $f_o E_s$ and TEC data by analyzing $f_o F_2$, $f_o E_s$ and TEC data. The variations in the $Dst$ index were within normal limit values. The $Dst$ index was $Dst > -20$ nT. The value of $Kp$ in-
Figure 4. a – Variation of peak electron density ($N_mF2$) parameter of Jicamarca Station from 25 March to 2 April 2014; b – Percentage deviation of $N_mF2$ parameter for Jicamarca Station on 28th, 30th and 31st March 2014.
dex was also below 4. This indicates that the space environment and the geomagnetic activity were relatively quiet during the analysis period of second event. The results related to these earthquakes are plotted as shown in Figure 5a to Figure 5b.

We analyzed the $f_0F2$ (MHz) data of period from 17 October to 25 October 2013. The results are plotted as shown in Figure 5a and Figure 5b. In Figure 5a the upper line represents upper bound but the line representing lower bound lie on horizontal x axis. The anomalous ionospheric enhancements up to 35.67% and 29.47% from the upper bound of IQR were observed on 21st October and 22nd October 2013. The relative positive deviation (% Dev) was from 25.96–35.67%. Thus 21st and 22nd October are the anomalous days prior to earthquake event. These days may be considered as precursor day. We analyzed the TEC (TECU) data of period from 15 October to 26 October 2013. The results are plotted as shown in Figure 6a and Figure 6b. In Figure 6a the upper line represents upper bound and the lower line represents lower bound. The obtained results show that the TEC parameters were disturbed significantly and positively 33.92% on 21st October. The relative positive deviation (% Dev) was from 5–33.92%. Thus 21st October is the anomalous day prior
Figure 5. a – Variation of $f_o F_2$ parameter of Kokubunji Station from 17 October to 25 October 2013; b – Percentage deviation of $f_o F_2$ parameter of Kokubunji Station on 21 October and 22 October 2013.
Figure 6. a – Variation of TEC parameter of Kashima Station from 15 October to 26 October 2013; b – Percentage deviation of TEC of Kashima Station on 18th, 19th and 21st October 2013.
to earthquake event. This day may be considered as precursor day.

We analyzed the $f_oE_s$ (MHz) data of period from 18 October to 27th October 2013. The results are plotted as shown in Figure 7a and Figure 7b. In Figure 7a the upper line represents upper bound and the lower line represents lower bound. The analysis of $f_oE_s$ data showed that 28.5%, 50.9% and 40.95% positive deviations of $f_oE_s$ were observed on 21st, 22nd and 23rd October 2013. The maximum positive deviation was observed on 22nd October 2013. Thus 21st, 22nd and 23rd October are the anomalous days prior to this earthquake event. These days may be considered as precursor days.

For Chile event we found anomalous variations of $f_oF2$ parameter on 28th March 3 days before and max. variation on 31st March 1 day before earthquake, found anomalous variation of TEC on 16th March (max. variation), 22nd and 31st March 15 days, 9 days, 1 day before earthquake and anomalous variations in $N_mF2$ parameter found on 28th March 3 days before and 31st March (max. variation) 1 day prior to earthquake. For $f_oE_s$ parameter the anomalous variations were observed on 27th March 2014. Thus for Chile event 16th March, 27th March and 31st March are the anomalous days
Figure 7.  a – Variation of $f_oE_s$ parameter of Kokubunji Station from 18 October to 27 October 2013; b – Percentage deviation of $f_oE_s$ parameter of Kokubunji Station on 21st, 22nd and 23rd October.
prior to earthquake event. Thus 16th March, 27th March and 31st March may be regarded as precursor day prior to earthquake event. Thus precursors were obtained which was on 15 days, 4 days and 1 day before the Chile earthquake event. For Chile earthquake event the variations in the $Dst$ index and value of $Kp$ index were within normal limit values. This indicates that the space environment and the geomagnetic activity were relatively quiet during the analysis period. Therefore the ionospheres anomaly was probably related to the earthquake event. The results related to Japan earthquake are as shown in Figure 5a to Figure 7b. The anomalous variations in $f_oF_2$ parameter were observed on 21st October 3 days prior to event. The TEC parameters were found anomalous on 21st October 3 days prior to earthquake. But anomalous variations in $f_oE_s$ observed on 21st October 3 days prior and maximum on 22nd October 2 days prior to earthquake event. Thus 21st and 22nd October are the anomalous days which may be considered as precursor days prior to earthquake event. Thus precursors were obtained on 3 days and 2 days before the Japan earthquake event. The above results of anomalous ionospheric variations seem to be linked with earthquakes.
4. Conclusion

For Chile event 16th March, 27th March and 31st March were observed as anomalous days prior to earthquake event. Thus these may be regarded as precursor day prior to earthquake event. Similarly for Japan earthquake event the 21st and 22nd October were observed as anomalous days which may be considered as precursor days prior to earthquake event.
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